

# ASSOUAD NAGATA DIMENSION ON THE INTEGERS

## 1. BASIC FACTS AND DEFINITIONS

**Definition 1.1.** A metric space  $X$  is said to be of *Assouad-Nagata dimension  $\leq n$* , i.e.  $\dim_{AN} X \leq n$ , iff there exists a constant  $c$  so that  $\forall r > 0$  we can construct a cover  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  of  $X$  such that each  $U_i$  is  $r$ -disjoint and uniformly bounded by  $cr$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A metric space  $X$  has *Assouad-Nagata dimension  $= n$*  if  $\dim_{AN} X \leq n$  is true and  $\dim_{AN} X \leq n - 1$  is false.

**Remark 1.3.** *Three points:*

- (i) *Each  $U_i$  is a family of sets.*
- (ii)  *$r$ -disjoint means that any two disjoint sets in  $U_i$  have distance at least  $r$ .*
- (iii) *A family of sets is called uniformly bounded by  $D$  if every set of the family has diameter less than  $D$*

**Definition 1.4.** For different values of  $r$  the map  $D_x^n : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by  $D_x^n(r) = cr$  which gives the bound of the diameters is formally called an  $n$ -dimensional control function. In Assouad Nagata dimension the  $n$ -dimensional control function is a dilation.

**Definition 1.5.** A *bi-Lipschitz* function  $f : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  satisfies the property

$$\mu d_X(x, y) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d_X(x, y)$$

for some  $\mu, \lambda > 0$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are metric spaces and that  $\dim_{AN} X \leq n$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is bi-Lipschitz and onto then  $\dim_{AN} Y \leq n$ .*

Let  $U$  a covering of  $X$ . Use  $f(U)$  to create a covering of  $Y$ .

**Remark 1.7.**  *$\dim_{AN}$  is bi-Lipschitz invariant.*

**Proposition 1.8.** *For a metric space  $(X, d)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\dim_{AN} X \leq n$
- (ii)  $\exists c_1$  such that  $\forall r > 0$ ,  $\exists$  a cover  $U_r$  of  $X$  with  $r$ -multiplicity at most  $n + 1$  and mesh at most  $c_1 r$ .
- (iii)  $\exists c_2 > 0$  such that  $\forall r > 0$ ,  $\exists$  a cover  $V_r$  of  $X$  with multiplicity at most  $n + 1$ , mesh at most  $c_2 r$  and Lebesgue number at least  $r$ .

For the proof of the above see [7]

**Definition 1.9.**

- $\text{mesh}(U_r) = \sup\{\text{diam}(A) \mid A \in U_r\}$
- $\text{multiplicity}(U_r) = \max\{\#A_i \in U_r, \text{ such that } \cap_i A_i \neq \emptyset\}$

---

*Date:* April 1, 2008.

*Key words and phrases.* Assouad-Nagata dimension.

- $r$ -multiplicity( $U_r$ ) =  $\max\{\#A_i \text{ intersecting a ball, } B_r(x)\}$
- Lebesgue number( $U_r$ ) =  $\inf\{\sup\{\text{diam}(U) : U \in U_r\} : x \in X\}$

**Proposition 1.10.** *If  $X = A \cup B$ , then  $\dim_{AN} X \leq \max\{\dim_{AN} A, \dim_{AN} B\}$ .*

The proof is really straight forward.

**Definition 1.11.** A metric space  $X$  is said to be of *asymptotic Assouad-Nagata dimension*  $n$ , i.e.  $asdim_{AN} X = n$ , if  $n$  is the smallest natural number for which  $\exists c, d$  constants such that  $\forall r > 0$  there exists a cover  $U = \{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of  $X$  such that each  $A_i$  is a family of  $r$ -disjoint sets and  $\text{diam}(A_{i_j}) \leq cr + d$ ,

**Remark 1.12.** *In asymptotic Assouad-Nagata dimension the dimension control function is linear and not just a dilation.*

**Proposition 1.13.** *For a discrete metric space  $X$ ,  $asdim_{AN} X = \dim_{AN} X$ . In particular, if  $X$  is a finitely generated group with the word metric, then  $asdim_{AN} X = \dim_{AN} X$ .*

For a detailed proof of the above see [5]

## 2. FINITELY GENERATED GROUPS AS METRIC SPACES

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G = \langle S | R \rangle$ ,  $|S| < \infty$ . Define the following word norm on  $G$ :

$$\|x\| = \min\{l(w) \mid x = w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k} \quad s_{i_j} \in S\}$$

where  $k = l(w)$  is the length of the word  $w$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Define the *word metric* on  $G$  by  $d_w(x, y) = \|x^{-1}y\|$ .

**Proposition 2.3.**  $d_w$  is left  $G$ -invariant,  $d_w(x, y) = d_w(gx, gy)$ .

*Proof.*

$$d_w(gx, gy) = \|(gx)^{-1}gy\| = \|x^{-1}g^{-1}gy\| = \|x^{-1}y\| = d_w(x, y)$$

□

**Proposition 2.4.**  $(G, d_w)$  is a discrete metric space.

*Proof.*  $d_w(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

□

**Corollary 2.5.** With  $d_w$ ,  $asdim_{AN} G = \dim_{AN} G$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $G$  is finitely generated and  $\text{diam}(G) < M$  then  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* For any  $g \in G$ ,  $\|g\| \leq M$ . If  $|S| = k$  then  $|G| \leq (2k)^M$ .

□

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $G$  is finitely generated and  $\text{diam}(G) < M$  then  $asdim_{AN} G = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_0 = G$ . Consider  $c = 1$ ,  $d = M$ . Then for all  $r > 0$ ,  $U_0$  is a cover of  $G$ ,  $U_0$  is trivially  $r$ -disjoint, and  $\text{diam}(U_0) \leq D_x^n(r) = 1r + M$ .

□

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $G$  is finitely generated and  $\text{diam}(G) < M$  then  $\dim_{AN} G = 0$ . See 1.13.*

**Proposition 2.9.** *If  $G$  is finite then  $asdim_{AN} G = \dim_{AN} G = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_0 = G$ .

□

**Proposition 2.10.** *If  $asdim_{AN}G = 0$ , and  $G$  finitely generated, then  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $r \geq 1$  and consider the appropriate covering. Let  $G \subset U_0 = \{A_0, A_1, \dots\}$ .  $|A_0| = k < \infty$  because,  $diam(A_0) \leq c1 + d = c + d$ . As a result, if  $A_0 \supset G$ , then  $G$  is finite, as desired. Assuming that this is not the case, there exist  $x \in A_0$  and  $s \in S \cup S^{-1}$  such that  $xs \notin A_0$ . If  $xs \in A_n$ , then we have that  $A_0$  and  $A_n$  are at most 1-disjoint, contradiction. Thus,  $U_0 = \{A_0\}$  and  $G$  is finite.  $\square$

**Definition 2.11.** A map  $\|\cdot\|_G : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *proper norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i)  $\|g\|_G = 0$  if and only if  $g$  is the neutral element in  $G$ .
- ii)  $\|g\|_G = \|g^{-1}\|_G$  for every  $g \in G$ .
- iii)  $\|g \cdot h\|_G \leq \|g\|_G + \|h\|_G$  for all  $g, h \in G$
- iv) For every  $k > 0$  the number of elements in  $G$  such that  $\|g\|_G \leq k$  is finite.

**Proposition 2.12.** *Suppose  $G$  is an infinite, finitely generated group with a proper norm. Consider  $d : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  the induced metric. Then  $asdim_{AN}(G, d) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume not. Let

$$k = \min\{\|s_i\|; s_i \in S \cup S^{-1}\}$$

Let  $r = k + 1$ . Then there exists a cover of  $G$  containing only one family of sets,  $\mathcal{U} = \{A\}$ , with  $A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ . Suppose  $A_i \supset G$  for some  $i$ . Then  $diam(G) \leq diam(A_i) \leq D$ . Since  $G$  is discrete  $G$  has to be finite. Contradiction. Thus  $G$  is not fully contained in any of the  $A_i$ 's. In particular  $G$  is not contained in  $A_1$ . So there exists some  $x \in A_1$  with  $xs \notin A_1$  where  $s \in (S \cup S^{-1})$ . Then, if  $xs \in A_j$ ,  $A_1$  and  $A_j$  are at most  $k$ -disjoint, and so they are not  $r$ -disjoint. Contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.13.** *Since  $\mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle$  is an infinite, free group, with one generator, from the proposition above we have that  $asdim_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d_w) \neq 0$ . Further, we would like to know precisely the  $asdim_{AN}\mathbb{Z}$ . That result is an immediate corollary of the following theorem.*

**Theorem 2.14.** *If  $T$  is a tree then  $asdim_{ANT} \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $e$  to be the origin of the tree. Let  $d > 0$ . Consider  $A_k = \{x \in T : kd \leq d(x, e) < (k+1)d\}$  the ‘‘Annulus’’ of radius  $(kd, (k+1)d)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Consider the Gromov product on the tree with  $e$  as base point:

$$(x|y) = \frac{1}{2}(d(x, e) + d(y, e) - d(x, y))$$

Define the relation:

$$x \sim y \iff (x|y) \geq (k - \frac{1}{2})d$$

Recall that the Gromov product on the tree satisfies the relation:

$$(x|y) \geq \min\{(x|z), (y|z)\}$$

since the tree is 0-hyperbolic. This proves that the relation is transitive. The other two properties are trivial thus we have an equivalence relation. Let  $U_{i,k}$  the equivalence classes in  $A_k$ . Name:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_0 &= \{U_{i,k}, i \in I_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ even}\} \\ \mathcal{U}_1 &= \{U_{j,k}, j \in J_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd}\} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

These families are  $d$ -disjoined. We will prove it for  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  and the proof is similar for  $\mathfrak{U}_1$ . Let  $U_{1,k}, U_{2,l}$  be two sets of  $\mathfrak{U}_0$ . If  $k \neq l$  then clearly

$$d(U_{1,k}, U_{2,l}) \geq d(A_k, A_l) = |k - l|d$$

and since  $k \neq l$  we have  $|k - l| \geq 1$  since both are integers. Thus  $d(U_{1,k}, U_{2,l}) \geq d$ . If  $k = l$  then since  $U_{1,k}$  and  $U_{2,l}$  are different components of the relation we have the following: For every  $x \in U_{1,k}$  and  $y \in U_{2,l}$  ( $x|y < (k - \frac{1}{2})d$ ) thus

$$-2(x|y) > -2kd + d$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= d(x, e) + d(y, e) - 2(x|y) \\ &> kd + kd - 2kd + d \\ &= d \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $U_{1,k}$  and  $U_{2,l}$  are  $d$ -disjoined. They are also  $3d$ -bounded. Let  $x, y \in U_{1,k}$ . Then  $(x|y) \geq (k - \frac{1}{2})d \Rightarrow -2(x|y) \leq -2kd + d$  but then  $d(x, y) = d(x, e) + d(y, e) - 2(x|y) \leq (k + 1)d + (k + 1)d - 2kd + d = 3d$ . Clearly the two families cover the tree so from the definition of the Assouad-Nagata dimension we have that  $asdim_{AN} \leq 1$ .

**Corollary 2.15.** *With  $d_w$ ,  $asdim_{AN} \mathbb{Z} = 1$*

*Proof.* By the previous proposition,  $asdim_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d_w) \geq 1$  and by our theorem,  $asdim_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d_w) \leq 1$  so  $asdim_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d_w) = 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. MONOTONE NORMS ON $\mathbb{Z}$

**Remark 3.1.** *Thus far, we have restricted our investigation to the norm that is most natural to apply to finitely generated groups. However, this restriction has been self-imposed and there is no technical reason to avoid other types of norms. We now generalize our results for proper, monotone norms.*

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is an increasing function then  $B_1(x, p(t)) = B_2(x, t)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  where  $B_1(x, p(t)) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : p(x - y) \leq p(t)\}$  and  $B_2(x, t) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : |x - y| \leq t\}$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $y \in B_1(x, p(t)) \Leftrightarrow p(x - y) \leq p(t)$ . Since  $p$  is increasing we get  $p(x - y) \leq p(t) \Leftrightarrow |x - y| \leq t$ . Clearly  $|x - y| \leq t \Leftrightarrow y \in B_2(x, t)$ . Combining the above we have the wanted inclusions.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is increasing then the families:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{U}_0 &= \{B_1(4kt, p(t)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \mathfrak{U}_1 &= \{B_1((4k + 2)t, p(t)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

*are  $p(t)$ -disjoined and  $2p(t)$ -bounded, where  $B_1(x, s)$  as the previous lemma. Finally, they form a cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* From the previous lemma we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{U}_0 &= \{B_2(4kt, t) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \mathfrak{U}_1 &= \{B_2((4k + 2)t, t) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  cover  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $4kt \leq a < 4(k+1)t$ . Then, either  $4kt \leq a < 4kt+t$  and  $a \in B_2(4kt, t)$ ,  $(4k+2)t-t \leq a < (4k+2)t+t$  and  $a \in B_2((4k+2)t, t)$  or  $4(k+1)t-t \leq a < 4(k+1)t$  so  $a \in B_2(4(k+1)t, t)$ .

Also they are  $2p(t)$ -bounded since:

$$\text{diam}(B_1(4kt, p(t))) \leq 2p(t)$$

Finally it is easy to show that  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  are  $p(t)$ -disjoined. We will prove it for  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  and the proof is the same for  $\mathfrak{U}_1$ . Let  $B_1(4k_1t, p(t))$  and  $B_1(4k_2t, p(t))$  with  $k_1 \neq k_2$  such that they are not  $p(t)$ -disjoined. Then, since both of them are compact, there exist  $x \in B_1(4k_1t, p(t))$  and  $y \in B_1(4k_2t, p(t))$  such that  $p(|x-y|) < p(t)$ . Since  $p$  is increasing we get  $|x-y| < t$ . But then

$$|4k_1t - 4k_2t| \leq |4k_1t - x| + |x - y| + |y - 4k_2t| \quad (\text{A})$$

Since  $x \in B_1(4k_1t, p(t))$  we get  $x \in B_2(4k_1t, t)$  and thus  $|x - 4k_1t| \leq t$ . Similarly  $|y - 4k_2t| \leq t$ . Thus (A) becomes:

$$|4k_1t - 4k_2t| \leq 3t$$

which leads to  $|k_1 - k_2| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Since  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $k_1 - k_2 = 0$  and thus  $k_1 = k_2$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  is  $p(t)$ -disjoined.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is an increasing, proper, non-bounded norm. Consider the induced metric  $d : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ :*

$$d(x, y) = p(|x - y|)$$

*Then  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d) = 1$*

*Proof.* Let  $r > 0$ . Since  $p$  is not bounded there exists an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p(a-1) \leq r$  and  $p(a) \geq r$ . Consider the two families

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{U}_0 &= \{B_1(4ka, p(a)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \mathfrak{U}_1 &= \{B_1((4k+2)a, p(a)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

From the previous lemma we have that the  $\mathfrak{U}_0, \mathfrak{U}_1$  are a covering of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Also they are  $p(a)$ -disjoined. Since  $p(a) \geq r$  they are also  $r$ -disjoined. Finally they are  $2p(a)$ -bounded. Notice that

$$2p(a) \leq 2(p(a-1) + p(1)) \leq 2p(a-1) + 2p(1) \leq 2r + 2p(1)$$

name  $c = 2$ ,  $d = 2p(1)$ . Then the families are  $cr + d$  disjoint. Since  $r$  was chosen arbitrarily and  $c, d$  are constants from the definition of asymptotic Assouad - Nagata dimension we have  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d) \leq 1$ .

From a previous proposition([2.12])  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(\mathbb{Z}, d) \geq 1$  which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Suppose that  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a decreasing norm. Then the induced metric on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not proper.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the induced metric was proper. Consider the ball  $B(x, p(r)) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : p(x-y) < p(r)\}$ . Since  $p$  is decreasing we have that  $B(x, p(r)) \supseteq A = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : |x-y| > r\}$ . But  $B_1(x, p(r))$  is compact. Since it is a metric space

$B_1(x, p(r))$  is bounded and thus finite since the metric is proper and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is discrete. On the other hand  $A$  is clearly infinite. Contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** *Since we only deal with proper norms we can now say that our norm is monotone and mean that it is increasing.*

**Corollary 3.7.** *Suppose that  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a norm which is constant in  $\mathbb{Z}$  (and 0 at 0). Then the induced metric is not proper.*

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = d$  for all  $x \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the ball  $B(0, 2d) = \mathbb{Z}$ , is infinite and thus the norm cannot be proper.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N.Brodskiy, J.Dydak, U.Lang *Assouad-Nagata dimension of wreath products of groups*, arXiv:math/0611331.
- [2] A.N.Dranishnikov, J.Smith *On Asymptotic Assouad-Nagata Dimension*, arXiv:math/0607143v1
- [3] J.Dydak, J.Higes *Asymptotic cones and Assouad-Nagata dimension* arXiv:math/0610338.
- [4] N.Brodskiy, J.Dydak, M.Levin, A.Mitra *Hurewicz Theorem for Assouad-Nagata dimension* arXiv:math/0605416
- [5] N.Brodskiy, J.Dydak, M.Levin, A.Mitra *Nagata-Assouad dimension via Lipschitz extensions* arXiv:math/0601226
- [6] J.Higes *Assouad-Nagata dimension of locally finite groups and asymptotic cones* arXiv:0711.1512.
- [7] J.Roe *Lectures On Coarse Geometry* University Lecture Series, 31. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003